

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
ABSTRACT	viii
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	xiv
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES	xvi
CHAPTER # 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Materials Processing – An Overview	1
1.1.1 Ion Solid Interaction	3
1.1.2 Ion Implantation	4
1.1.3 Ion-Beam-Assisted Deposition (IBAD) of Thin Films	5
1.1.4 Ion-Beam Mixing (IBM)	6
1.2 Applications of Plasma Processing of Materials	7
1.2.1 Tribological Applications	7
1.2.2 Ultra-Pure Cleaning	9
1.2.3 Medical Applications	9
1.2.4 Applications in Semiconductor Industry	9
1.3 Plasma Focus -A Potential Candidate for Materials Processing	10
1.3.1 Dense Plasma Focus as an Ion Source	12
1.3.2 Advantages of Plasma Focus based Materials Processing	13
1.4 Layout of the Thesis	14
CHAPTER # 2 LITERATURE SURVEY	15
CHAPTER # 3 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND DIAGNOSTICS	25
3.0 Introduction	25
3.1 The Plasma Focus Device at GCU Lahore, Pakistan	25
3.1.1 Plasma Focus Tube	26
3.1.2 The driver for DPF	28

3.1.3	Sparkgap and Triggering	28
3.1.4	The DPF operation	31
3.2	The Repetitive Plasma Focus Device-NX2 machine at the NIE, NTU, Singapore	31
3.2.1	Introduction	31
3.2.2	Novel Features of the Device	33
3.2.3	Description of the NX2 Configuration	33
3.3	Preliminary Electrical Diagnostics	36
3.3.1	Rogowski Coil	37
3.3.2	High Voltage Probe	41
3.3.3	BPX65 Photodiode Detector	42
3.3.4	Faraday Cup	44
3.4	Sample Preparation and Treatment	47
3.4.1	Sample Selection	48
3.4.2	Rough Grinding	48
3.4.3	Mounting	48
3.4.4	Polishing	48
3.4.5	Etching/Cleaning	49
3.5	Diagnostic Techniques for Processed Materials	49
3.5.1	X-Ray Diffractometer (XRD)	49
3.5.2	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDS)	53
3.5.3	X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)	57
3.5.4	Raman Spectroscopy	61
3.5.5	Microhardness Measurements	65
CHAPTER # 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	68
4.1	Ion Beam Characterization and Nitriding	68

4.1.1	Introduction	68
4.1.2	Experimental Setup	69
4.1.3	Results and Discussion	70
4.2	Plasma Focus Assisted Synthesis of Nanocrystalline TiN Thin Films	84
4.2.1.	Introduction	84
4.2.2	Experimental Details	85
4.2.3	Results and Discussions	85
4.3	Surface Modification of Al-Alloy	94
4.3.1	Introduction	94
4.3.2	Experimental Setup	95
4.3.3	Results and Discussion	96
4.4	Co-deposition of Titanium and Iron Nitrides on SS-321	105
4.4.1	Introduction	105
4.4.2	Experimental Setup	106
4.4.3	Results and Discussion	106
4.5	Carburizing of Titanium using UNU/ICTP and NX2 DPF Devices	113
4.5.1	Introduction	113
4.5.2	Experimental Arrangements	114
4.5.3	Results and Discussion	115
4.6	Summary of the Work and Future Suggestions	129
4.6.1	Future Suggestions	132
4.6.2	Concluding Remarks	133
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	134
	REFERENCES	135

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

(In refereed journals of international repute)

- 1. Study of lateral spread of ions emitted from 2.3 kJ plasma focus with hydrogen and nitrogen gases**
R. Ahmad, **M. Hassan**, G. Murtaza, A. Waheed and M. Zakaullah, **J. Fusion Energy**, **21(3/4)** (2003) 217-220
- 2. Surface modification of AlFe_{1.8}Zn_{0.8} alloy by using dense plasma focus**
M. Hassan, R. Ahmad, A. Qayyum, G. Murtaza, A. Waheed and M. Zakaullah, **Vacuum**, **81** (2006) 291-298
- 3. Co-deposition of titanium and iron nitrides on SS-321 by using plasma focus**
R. Ahmad, **M. Hassan**, G. Murtaza, J.I. Akhter, A. Qayyum, A. Waheed and M. Zakaullah, **Rad. Eff. & Def. in solids**, **161(2)** (2006) 121-129
- 4. Nitriding of titanium by using ion beam delivered by a plasma focus**
M. Hassan, A. Qayyum, R. Ahmad, G. Murtaza and M. Zakaullah, **J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.** **40** (2007) 769-777
- 5. Synthesis of nanocrystalline multiphase titanium oxycarbide (TiC_xO_y) thin films by UNU/ICTP and NX2 plasma focus devices**
M. Hassan, R.S. Rawat, P. Lee, S.M. Hassan, A. Qayyum, R. Ahmad, G. Murtaza and M. Zakaullah, (Accepted in **Appl. Phys. A**)
- 6. Plasma focus assisted synthesis of nanocrystalline TiN thin film**
M. Hassan, A. Qayyum, R. Ahmad, R.S. Rawat, P. Lee, S.M. Hassan, G. Murtaza and M. Zakaullah, (Submitted in **Surf. Coat. Technol.**)
- 7. Nitridation of zirconium disks using ion beam delivered by plasma focus discharges**
I.A. Khan, **M. Hassan**, R. Ahmad A. Qayyum, G. Murtaza, M. Zakaullah and R.S. Rawat, (Revised in **Thin Solids Films**)
- 8. Nano-phase titanium dioxide thin film deposited by repetitive plasma focus: Ions dose and annealing based agglomeration**
R.S. Rawat, V. Aggarwal, **M Hassan**, P Lee, S.V. Springham, T.L. Tan and S. Lee (Submitted in **Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.**)

(In international conference proceedings)

9. AlN thin film deposition on Al alloy by using dense plasma focus

M. Hassan, R. Ahmad, G. Murtaza and M. Zakaullah, **Al-Azhar Bull. Sci.** **16(2)** (2005) 9-13

10. Effect of plasma focus discharge currents and repetition rates on evolution of nanocrystalline multiphase titanium carbide/a-C thin films

M. Hassan, R.S. Rawat, P. Lee, S.M. Hassan, A. Qayyum, R. Ahmad, G. Murtaza and M. Zakaullah, **J. Nepal Phys. Soc.** **23(1)** (2007) 19-23

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLES

Table 3.1: The operating parameters of the NX2 device	36
Table 4.1: XRD data showing the relative intensity (%) and the broadening ($2\theta^0$) of typical peaks of TiN film deposited on titanium at different axial positions	78
Table 4.2: EDS data showing the nitrogen and titanium concentration (at. and wt. %) in nitrided titanium at different axial positions	81
Table 4.3: EDS data showing the nitrogen and titanium concentrations (at. % and wt. %) for various ratios of micro-crack to film surface areas	91
Table 4.4: Technical parameters of the UNU/ICTP and the NX2 device used for the carburizing of titanium samples	114
Table 4.5: EDS data showing the carbon and oxygen concentrations (at. % and wt. %) for typical samples surfaces carburized by both the devices	123

FIGURES

Figure 1.1: The schematic of ion implantation phenomenon	4
Figure 1.2: The schematic of ion beam assisted deposition process	5
Figure 1.3: The schematic of ion beam mixing during ion bombardment	6
Figure 1.4: Schematics of (a) Mather type and (b) Fillippov type plasma focus geometries	11
Figure 3.1: Schematic diagram of the DPF device working at GCU Lahore Pakistan used for materials processing	26
Figure 3.2: A schematic of plasma focus electrode system	27
Figure 3.3: A schematic of triggertron-type pressurized spark gap	29
Figure 3.4: The circuit diagram of trigger unit	30

Figure 3.5: Schematic diagram of the dumping system	31
Figure 3.6: A Schematic of the NX2 machine at the NIE NTU, Singapore, used for materials processing	32
Figure 3.7: Equivalent circuit of DPF device	37
Figure 3.8: A schematic of (a) design (b) working and (c) Passive integrator circuit of Rogowski coil	38
Figure 3.9: The schematic diagram of a typical high voltage probe	42
Figure 3.10: (a) A BPX65 photodiode and (b) Schematic arrangement of biasing circuit for the photodiode	44
Figure 3.11: Schematic arrangement of Faraday cup used in experiment	45
Figure 3.12: The conditions for the beams reflected from successive planes to be in phase, and hence reinforcing each other, as given by Bragg's Law	51
Figure 3.13: Schematic representation of a sample mounted on a goniometer stage, which can be rotated about one or more axis and the detector which travels along the focusing circle in the Bragg-Brentano geometry	52
Figure 3.14: A schematic diagram of the electron beam collimator in SEM	54
Figure 3.15: A schematic diagram of electron source used in the electron beam collimator	55
Figure 3.16: (a) Typical EDS spectrum of SS and (b) Elemental map of SS showing Fe lines	57
Figure 3.17: The schematic of an XPS physical mechanism	59
Figure 3.18: The schematic arrangement of an XPS system	60
Figure 3.19: Energy level diagram for Raman scattering; (a) Stokes Raman scattering (b) Anti-Stokes Raman scattering	62

Figure 3.20: Vickers indentation on an SS specimen with 50 gf	65
Figure 3.21: (a) The schematic of indentation force and (b) Test cycle profile	66
Figure 4.1: Typical ion beam signals recorded by (a) BPX65 photodiode (b) Faraday cup	71
Figure 4.2: Ion energy (keV) as a function of time evolution (nsec) estimated with BPX65 photodiode and Faraday cup	73
Figure 4.3: Distribution of ion number density (cm^{-3}) as a function of ion energy (keV) estimated with BPX65 photodiode and Faraday cup	73
Figure 4.4: Ion energy (keV) and corresponding max. ion number density (cm^{-3}) as a function of axial positions (cm) evaluated with (a) BPX65 photodiode and (b) Faraday cup	74
Figure 4.5: Comparison of ion current density (A cm^{-2}) as a function of axial positions (cm) recorded with BPX65 photodiode and Faraday cup	75
Figure 4.6: XRD patterns of titanium sample surfaces treated at different axial positions	76
Figure 4.7: Typical SEM micrographs of TiN films deposited at different axial positions; (a) 3cm, $\times 40000$, (b) 5cm, $\times 40000$, (c) 7cm, $\times 10000$ and (d) 9cm, $\times 20000$	78
Figure 4.8: Typical SEM micrographs of (a) titanium substrate, and TiN film deposited at 7cm at (b) $\times 10000$, (c) $\times 20000$ and (d) $\times 50000$ magnifications	80
Figure 4.9: Typical SEM micrograph with its EDS maps showing titanium and nitrogen elemental distribution on TiN thin film deposited at 7 cm	82
Figure 4.10: Variation of micro-hardness (MPa) as a function of indentation depth (μm) with different test loads (10, 25, 50, 100, 200, 300 and 500g) on sample surfaces treated at different axial positions	82
Figure 4.11: Typical ion beam signal recorded with BPX65 photodiode detector	86

Figure 4.12: SEM micrographs of (a) The substrate surface, (b) TiN film surface at $\times 750$, (c) $\times 20,000$ and (d) $\times 50,000$ magnifications	88
Figure 4.13: (a) Typical SEM image of the TiN film at $\times 37,000$ magnification showing a micro-crack and (b) a simple geometry illustrating the micro-crack	89
Figure 4.14: Typical SEM micrograph along with EDS maps showing the titanium and nitrogen distribution on the TiN thin film having a micro-crack	90
Figure 4.15: X-ray diffractograms of the TiN thin film obtained with X'Pert PRO MPD (θ - θ scan mode) and SIEMENS (θ - 2θ scan mode) XRD machines	92
Figure 4.16: Variation of microhardness (MPa) as a function of indentation depth (μm) at various imposed loads (10, 25, 50, 100, 200, 300 and 500 g)	93
Figure 4.17: X-ray diffraction patterns of Al alloy samples exposed with 30 shots placed at different axial positions at the anode axis	97
Figure 4.18: X-ray diffraction patterns of Al alloy samples exposed at $Z = 7$ cm with 30 shots, placed at different angular positions	97
Figure 4.19: The XRD data showing relative change in d-spacing and FWHM of the (111) plane reflection at different (a) axial and (b) angular positions for a sample exposed with 30 shots	98
Figure 4.20: EDX spectra of the samples (a) unexposed, and exposed at $Z = 7\text{cm}$ with 30 shots for angular position; (b) 0^0 and (c) 10^0	100
Figure 4.21: SEM micrographs of the samples (a) unexposed, and exposed at	101

Z = 7cm with 30 shots at angular positions (b) 0^0 , (c) 10^0 and (d) 20^0

- Figure 4.22: Variation of Vickers hardness (MPa) as a function of indentation depth (μm) for the samples exposed with 30 shots at different axial positions 102
- Figure 4.23: Variation of Vickers hardness (MPa) as a function of indentation depth (μm) for the samples exposed at Z = 7cm with 30 shots at different angular positions 103
- Figure 4.24: The XRD diffractograms of stainless steel samples treated with 10 and 15 shots at axial position of Z = 15 cm 106
- Figure 4.25: XRD diffractograms of stainless steel samples treated at Z = 15 cm with 15 shots at different radial positions 108
- Figure 4.26: EDX spectra of stainless steel samples (a) unexposed, (b) exposed with 10 shots and (c) exposed with 15 shots 109
- Figure 4.27: SEM micrograph of a typical stainless steel sample treated at Z = 15cm with 15 shots (a) with 1000x magnification and (b) with 5000x magnification 110
- Figure 4.28: Variation of surface hardness (HV) and the indentation depth (μm) as a function of imposed load (g) for the unexposed and the exposed samples with 10 and 15 shots at axial position of Z = 15 cm 112
- Figure 4.29: X-ray diffraction patterns of the multiphase thin films deposited by the UNU/ICTP DPF at different axial and angular positions; The legend notations follow the pattern as: 5cm represents the sample treated at axial position of 5cm along the anode axis (0^0 angular position with respect to the anode axis), and so on; 5cm, 10^0 represents the one treated at 5 cm axial position and 10^0 angular position with respect to the anode axis and so on. 116
- Figure 4.30: X-ray diffraction patterns of the multiphase thin films deposited by the NX2 machine at different axial and angular positions (at 0.5 and 1.0 Hz repetition rates); The legend notations follow the pattern as: 118

7cm, 0.5Hz represents the sample treated at 7 cm axial position along the anode axis (0^0 angular position), and so on; 9cm, 1.0Hz, 10^0 represents the one treated at 9 cm axial position and 10^0 angular position with respect to the anode axis when the device is operated at 1.0 Hz repetition rate and so on.

- Figure 4.31: Crystallite size estimated from carbon phase of the films deposited at (a) 5 cm by the UNU/ICTP DPF and (b) 9cm,1.0 Hz by the NX2 device 120
- Figure 4.32: SEM micrographs of (a) untreated substrate surface, (b) composite film surface deposited with the UNU/ICTP DPF at 5cm, 0^0 , (c) 10^0 and (d) 200^0 angular positions 121
- Figure 4.33: SEM micrographs of (a) composite film surface deposited by the NX2 machine at 9cm,1.0 Hz repetition rate when sample is at 0^0 and (b) 10^0 angular positions 122
- Figure 4.34: Typical EDS images along with elemental maps of the films deposited with (a) the UNU/ICTP device at 5cm and (b) the NX2 device at 9cm,1.0Hz repetition rate 123
- Figure 4.35: XPS spectra of the film deposited at 5cm by the UNU/ICTP device; (a) Ti 2p and (b) Cs1 line shapes with Gaussian fit, and those of the film deposited at 9cm,by the NX2 device operated at 1.0 Hz repetition rate; (c) Ti 2p and (d) Cs1 line shapes with Gaussian fit 124
- Figure 4.36: Typical Raman spectra of the multiphase composite films deposited at different axial positions using UNU/ ICTP DPF device 127
- Figure 4.37: Variation of microhardness (MPa) as a function of indentation depth (μm) of the films deposited at typical samples by the UNU/ICTP and the NX2 device 128