

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Full
AOAC	Association of Official Analytical Chemist
%	percent
@	at the rate of
BCR	benefit-cost ratio
cm	centimeter
cm ²	square centimeter
DAP	days after planting
d ⁻¹	per day
EC	electrical conductivity
Fig.	figure
g	gram
ha ⁻¹	per hectare
K	potassium
kg	kilogram
t ha ⁻¹	tones per hectare
LSD	least significant difference
m ²	per square meter
N	nitrogen
P	phosphorus
K	potassium
Plant ⁻¹	per plant
Rs.	rupees
TDM	total dry matter
CP	crude protein
NDF	neutral detergent fiber
ADF	acid detergent fiber
LER	land equivalent ratio
PLER	partial land equivalent ratio
CR	competition ratio
A	aggressivity
RYT	relative yield total
RFV	relative feed value
RCC	relative crowding coefficient
IVD	<i>in vitro</i> digestibility
WHS	winter hardy species
WSS	winter sensitive species

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter No.	TITLE	Page No.
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	iv
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
	ABSTRACT	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6
2.1	Mixed cropping	6
2.2	Legume-non legume mixture	7
2.2.1.	Yield and yield parameters	7
2.2.2.	Quality parameters	10
2.2.3.	Competition indices	13
2.3.	Mixture of non-legume crops	16
2.3.1.	Yield and yield parameters	16
2.3.2.	Quality parameters	18
2.3.3.	Competition indices	19
2.4.	Seed proportions	20
2.5.	Harvesting time	24
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	27
3.1.	Experimental environment	27
3.1.1.	Climatic conditions	27
3.1.2.	Soil and soil analysis	28
3.2.	Experiment and Treatments	29
3.2.1.	Experiment 1: Influence of harvesting management on forage yield and quality of oat (<i>Avena sativa</i> L.) sown in mixture with berseem at different seed proportion	29

3.2.2.	Experiments 2: Forage production potential of oat (<i>Avena sativa</i>) grown in association with barley and canola under different seed proportions	29 29
3.2.3.	Experimental layout	30
3.3.	Crop husbandary	30
3.3.1.	Seed bed preparation	30
3.3.2.	Crop cultivars and seed rates	30
3.3.3.	Sowing	30
3.3.4.	Fertilization	31
3.3.5.	Irrigation	31
3.3.6.	Harvesting	31
3.4.	Data collection	32
3.4.1.	Agronomic parameters	32
3.4.2.	Quality parameters of each intercrop	32
3.4.3.	Competition indices	32
3.4.4.	Economic analysis	32
3.5.	Procedures for recording data	33
3.5.1.	Germination count	33
3.5.2.	Number of leaves tiller ⁻¹	33
3.5.3.	Plant height at cutting	33
3.5.4.	Number of tillers per plant	33
3.5.5.	Fresh forage yield (t ha ⁻¹)	33
3.5.6.	Dry matter yield (t ha ⁻¹)	33
3.5.7.	First cut green forage yield (t ha ⁻¹)	34
3.5.8.	First cut dry matter yield (t ha ⁻¹)	34
3.5.9.	Grand total mixed green forage yield (t ha ⁻¹)	34
3.5.10.	Grand total mixed dry matter yield (t ha ⁻¹)	34
3.5.11.	Crude protein	34
3.5.12.	Neutral detergent fiber (NDF%)	35
3.5.13.	Acid detergent fiber (ADF %)	35
3.5.14.	Total ash (%)	35

3.5.15.	Land equivalent ratio	36
3.5.16.	Aggressivity	36
3.5.17.	Competition ratio	37
3.6.	Economic analysis	37
3.6.1.	Net benefit (Rs.)	37
3.6.2.	Benefit-cost ratio	38
3.7	Statistical analysis	38
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	39
4.1.	Experiment 1: Influence of harvesting management on forage yield and quality of oat (<i>Avena sativa</i> L.) sown in mixture with berseem at different seed proportion	39
4.1.1	Agronomic parameters	39
4.1.1.1	Germination count of oat (m ⁻²)	39
4.1.1.2.	Number of leaves plant ⁻¹	39
4.1.1.3.	No. of tillers plant ⁻¹	41
4.1.1.4.	Plant height (cm)	42
4.1.1.5.	First cut green forage yield of oat (t ha ⁻¹)	45
4.1.1.6.	First cut green forage yield of berseem (t ha ⁻¹)	46
4.1.1.7.	First cut dry matter yield of oat (t ha ⁻¹)	49
4.1.1.8.	First cut DM yield of berseem (t ha ⁻¹)	51
4.1.1.9.	First cut total green forage yield (t ha ⁻¹)	52
4.1.1.10.	First cut total dry matter yield (t ha ⁻¹)	54
4.1.2.	Quality parameters	56
4.1.2.1.	Crude protein concentrations	56
4.1.2.1.1	First cut crude protein yield	57
4.1.2.2.	Neutral detergent fiber (NDF%)	62
4.1.2.3.	Acid detergent fiber (ADF %)	67
4.1.2.4	Ash %	72
4.1.3.	Competitive indices	77
4.1.3.1.	Land equivalent ratios (LER)	77

4.1.3.2	Competition ratio	79
4.1.3.3	Aggressivity	79
4.1.4	Re-growth forage yields	82
4.1.4.1	Oat re-growth green forage yield (t ha ⁻¹)	82
4.1.4.2.	Oat re-growth dry matter yield (t ha ⁻¹)	82
4.1.4.3.	Berseem re-growth green forage yield (t ha ⁻¹)	85
4.1.4.4.	Berseem re-growth DM yield (t ha ⁻¹)	85
4.1.5.	Re-growth quality parameters	88
4.1.5.1.	Crude protein yield (t ha ⁻¹)	88
4.1.5.2.	Neutral detergent fiber (NDF %)	88
4.1.5.3.	Acid detergent fiber (ADF %)	91
4.1.5.4.	Ash%	91
4.1.6.	Grand total forage yields	94
4.1.6.1.	Grand total mixed green forage yield (t ha ⁻¹)	94
4.1.6.2.	Grand total mixed DM yield (t ha ⁻¹)	94
4.1.6.3.	Grand total mixed crude protein yield (t ha ⁻¹)	95
4.1.7.	Discussion	99
4.1.7.1.	Growth parameters	99
4.1.7.2.	First cut green/dry forage yields	101
4.1.7.3.	Quality parameter (First cut)	102
4.1.7.4.	Competition indices	104
4.1.7.5.	Re-growth green/dry matter yield	106
4.1.7.6.	Re-growth quality parameters	107
4.1.7.7.	Grand total mixed forage yield	108
4.1.8.	Results	110
4.1.9.	Recommendations	110
4.2	Experiment 2: Forage production potential of oat (<i>Avena sativa</i>) grown in association with barley and canola under different seed proportions	111
4.2.1	Agronomic parameters	111
4.2.1.1.	Germination count of oat (m ⁻²)	111

4.2.1.2.	Plant height (cm)	111
4.2.1.3.	Number of tillers plant ⁻¹	113
4.2.1.4.	Number of leaves plant ⁻¹	113
4.2.1.5.	Green forage yield of oat (t ha ⁻¹)	115
4.2.1.6.	Dry matter yield of oat (t ha ⁻¹)	116
4.2.1.7.	Green forage yield of intercrop (t ha ⁻¹)	117
4.2.1.8.	Dry matter yield of intercrop (t ha ⁻¹)	118
4.2.1.9.	Total green forage yield (t ha ⁻¹)	120
4.2.1.10.	Total dry matter yield (t ha ⁻¹)	120
4.2.2.	Quality parameters	122
4.2.2.1.1	Crude protein concentrations	122
4.2.2.1.2	Crude protein yield (t ha ⁻¹)	122
4.2.2.2.	Neutral detergent fiber (NDF %)	125
4.2.2.3.	Acid detergent fiber (%)	128
4.2.2.4.	Ash (%)	131
4.2.3.	Competition indices	134
4.2.3.1.	Land Equivalent Ratio (LER)	134
4.2.3.2.	Competition Ratio (CR)	134
4.2.3.3.	Aggressivity	136
4.2.4.	Discussion	138
4.2.4.1.	Growth parameters	138
4.2.4.2.	Green/dry forage yield	139
4.2.4.3.	Quality parameters	140
4.2.4.4.	Competition indices	141
4.2.5.	Results	143
4.2.6.	Recommendations	143
4.3	Economic Analysis	144
4.3.1.	First Experiment	144
4.3.1.1.	Net benefit	144
4.3.1.2.	Benefit cost ratio (BCR)	145
4.3.2.	Second experiment	146

4.3.2.1.	Net benefit	146
4.3.2.2.	Benefit cost ratio	148
4.4.	Future prospects	148
5.	Summary	149
	First experiment	149
	Second experiment	152
	References	154